

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RUPA MARYA, M.D.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA; SAM  
HAWGOOD; CATHERINE LUCEY;  
ROBERT WACHTER; TRACEY TSUGAWA;  
BRIAN ALLDREDGE; WON HA; AND  
DOES 1-20,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:25-cv-04716-MMC

**STIPULATED JOINT REQUEST TO  
CONTINUE HEARING ON  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

**AND ORDER THEREON**

Judge: The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney

Plaintiff Rupa Marya, M.D., and Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Sam Hawgood, Catherine Lucey, Robert Wachter, Won Ha, Tracey Tsugawa, and Brian Alldredge, through their counsel, and without admission of any kind, or waiver of any defense, objection, or response, hereby stipulate and request as follows:

WHEREAS, on October 10, 2025, Defendants moved to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint in this matter;

WHEREAS, on November 24, 2025, Plaintiff filed an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS, per the Court's order of November 20, 2025, Defendants' reply brief in support of the motion to dismiss is due on December 18, 2025;

WHEREAS, per the Court's order of September 18, 2025, Defendants noticed their motion to dismiss for a hearing on January 30, 2026;

WHEREAS, counsel for Defendants now has a professional obligation requiring him to be in Los Angeles, California, from January 27 through January 31, 2026;

WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff has a trial set in the Central District of California beginning on January 13, 2026 in which he represents the defendant, and in which the plaintiff's

1 counsel estimates that it will take 10 court days to present his case, thus making it possible—  
2 especially with the federal holiday on January 19—that the jury will not have concluded  
3 deliberations by January 30;

4 WHEREAS, counsel for both parties would be available for a hearing on February 6, 2026  
5 and do not believe a one-week delay would cause any prejudice or substantially affect the overall  
6 schedule for the litigation;

7 WHEREAS, Local Rule 6-2(a) provides that “[t]he parties may file a stipulation,  
8 conforming to Civil L.R. 7-12, requesting an order changing time that would affect the date of an  
9 event or deadline already fixed by Court order, or that would accelerate or extend time frames set  
10 in the Local Rules or in the Federal Rules”;

11 WHEREAS, Item 7 of this Court’s Standing Order requires parties “seeking to continue  
12 hearings” to “submit a signed stipulation and proposed order, . . . [i]rrespective of whether the  
13 parties are in agreement”;

14 NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiff and Defendants, through their counsel, HEREBY  
15 STIPULATE AND REQUEST that this Court order as follows:

16  
17 1. The hearing on Defendants’ motion to dismiss shall be continued from January 30,  
18 2026, to February 6, 2026.

19  
20 **SO STIPULATED AND REQUESTED:**

21  
22 DATED: December 11, 2025

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

23  
24 By: /s/ Bryan H. Heckenlively  
25 BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY  
26 Attorneys for Defendants  
27  
28

1 DATED: December 11, 2025

2  
3 By: /s/ Mark Kleiman  
4 MARK KLEIMAN  
5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
6  
7

8 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10 DATED: December 11, 2025

Maxine M. Chesney  
11 THE HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
12 U.S. District Judge  
13 United States District Court  
14 Northern District of California  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28